

The Lattice of Primitive Recursive Clones of Functions Defined on Finite Sets

János Bagyinszki
Budapest Polytechnic, Hungary

Abstract. On the power-set of the set of k -valued logical functions, there is defined a closure operation, called pr-closure. A set of k -valued logical functions is called pr-closed (or pr-clone), if it was a clone, closed under primitive recursion. (Clone is a set of functions containing the projections, and closed under composition of functions.) We prove, that in the case of truth-functions ($k=2$) there are exactly two pr-clone. In the general case, our main result is to present and prove the basic theorem of pr-completeness (similar to the theorem of Rosenberg).

1. Introduction.

Since the seventies and eighties the investigations of clones are a main area of universal algebra and many-valued logics. The inclusion structure of the set of closed classes for two-valued logic was given by Post[P-41] (This is nearly the subclone lattice). All maximal classes in k -valued logics were given for $k=3$ and $k\geq 3$ by Yablonskii and Rosenberg, respectively. [see R-77] According to a result of Yanov and Mučnik [see P-K-79], there are clones infinitely generated (both without and with base) and a continuum of clones in k -valued logics (for $k>2$). In this monograph, the authors investigated both the maximal and the minimal length of chains of subclone-lattice of k -valued logics, too. The complete lattice of the maximal linear clones in prime-valued logics was given in [B-91] and in [B-D-80].

We defined the pr-clone closure [B-91, B-93] in order to investigate certain subclone lattices. Moreover, it is a usual way in computer science to define functions by primitive recursion and we extended this operation to k -valued logic.

In part 2, after definitions and denotations there are mentioned generalizations and another definition of primitive recursion for finite base set (cyclic recursion).

In part 3 there is given the lattice of pr-clones for truth functions. This lattice is very simple; it is a chain with two elements. Moreover, both of these pr-clones are generated with one element, and each of the truth functions generate one of these pr-clones.

In part 4 there is presented the main result. There are given pr-Sheffer functions with one variable and a Słupecki-kind theorem for pr-clones. We present, that in k -valued logics each function is primitive recursive. As a main result, there are given all of the pr-maximal clones and the basic theorem of pr-completeness.

2. Definitions and notations

Let $k>1$ fixed, m, n, i be positive integers and l a non-negative integer. Denote $O_K^{(n)}$ the set of n -ary functions over the set $K=\{0,1,\dots,k-1\}$. $O_K^{(n)}=\{f:K^n\rightarrow K\}$, and $O_K=\bigcup_{n>0} O_K^{(n)}$. For an arbitrary subset O of the set O_K , let $O^{(n)}=O\cap O_K^{(n)}$. Sometimes there are used the short notations $\tilde{x}=(x_1,x_2,\dots,x_n)$ and $(\tilde{x},x_{n+1})=(x_1,x_2,\dots,x_n,x_{n+1})$. The mod k addition is denoted by $\oplus$. The remainder part of this paper, the function and the set O mean an element and a subset of O_K , respectively. Some special functions are named and denoted as follows. The defined concepts are underlined. The cyclic permutation function s is the unary function such, that $s(x)=x\oplus 1$ for $x\in K$. The n -ary constant functions c_l^n , $l\in K$, and the $(n$ -ary) i^{th} projection function (shortly projection) are defined such that for $\tilde{x}\in K^n$, $c_l^n(\tilde{x})=l$ and $e_i^n(\tilde{x})=x_i$ ($1\leq i\leq n$). If $n=1$, then the upper index is omitted. Therefore, the set of constant functions and projections are C and E , respectively, where $C^{(n)}=\{c_l^n \mid l\in K\}$, $E^{(n)}=\{e_i^n \mid 1\leq i\leq n\}$.

For a non-empty subset M of K , an n -ary function f is M -preserving, if for $\tilde{x}\in M^n$ holds $f(\tilde{x})\in M$. Denote $(O \mid M)$ the set of M -preserving functions from O :

$$(O \mid M)^{(n)} = \{f \mid f\in O^{(n)}, f(\tilde{x})\in M \text{ for } \tilde{x}\in M^n\}.$$

A composition of functions $f\in O_K^{(n)}$ and $g\in O_K^{(m)}$ is the function $g\bullet f\in O_K^{(n+m-1)}$, where

$$(g\bullet f)(\tilde{x},x_{n+1},\dots,x_{n+m-1})=g(f(\tilde{x}),x_{n+1},\dots,x_{n+m-1}) \text{ for every } x_i\in K (i=1,2,\dots,n+m).$$

An $(n+1)$ -ary function h is defined by primitive recursion from n -ary function f and $(n+2)$ -ary function g , if $h=g\$f$, where $(g\$f)(\tilde{x},0)=f(\tilde{x})$, and

$$(g\$f)(\tilde{x},s(i))=g(\tilde{x},(g\$f)(\tilde{x},i),i), s(i)\in K.$$

Let r_1, j_1 unary, r_2, r two-ary, r_3 three-ary and w $(k+1)$ -ary functions given by the following equations. For each $x, y, z, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in K$ valid

$$r_1(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } x = 0, \\ x - 1, & \text{if } x \neq 0; \end{cases} \quad j_1(x) = \begin{cases} k - 1, & \text{if } x = 1, \\ 0, & \text{if } x \neq 1; \end{cases}$$

$$r_2(x, y) = \begin{cases} x, & \text{if } y = 0, \\ y - 1, & \text{if } y \neq 0; \end{cases} \quad r_3(x, y, z) = \begin{cases} x, & \text{if } z = 0, \\ y, & \text{if } z \neq 0; \end{cases}$$

$$r(x, y) = \begin{cases} x, & \text{if } y = 0, \\ y, & \text{if } y \neq 0 \text{ and } x = 0, \\ x - 1, & \text{if } y \neq 0 \text{ and } x \neq 0; \end{cases} \quad w(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k, l) = x_{l+1}, \quad l \in K.$$

Let $[]$ be a closure operation over the power-set of the set O_K (that is, for all subset O' of O_K : $O' \subseteq [O']$; $O'' \subseteq O'$ implies $[O''] \subseteq [O']$ and $[[O']] = [O']$), and let O be a closed class: $[O] = O \subseteq O_K$.

A subset O' of O is $[]$ -complete in the class O , if $[O'] = O$.

The $[]$ -closed class O'' is maximal in the class O , if $O'' \subset O' \subseteq O$ implies $[O'] = O$.

The B subset of the class O is a base of the class O , if B is $[]$ -complete in O and for every proper subset B' of B , $[B'] \neq O$ (that is, B' is not complete in O).

The $[]$ -closed class is including the set of projections named $[]$ -clone.

We are interesting in two types of clones. One of them is the compositional closed class. A subset O of the set O_K is said to be a compositional clone, if it contains the projections and it is closed under the functional composition: $f \in O^{(n)}$ and $g \in O^{(m)}$ implies $g \bullet f \in O^{(n+m-1)}$.

A compositional clone O is said to be a pr-clone (primitive recursive clone), if it is closed under the primitive recursion: $f \in O^{(n)}$ and $g \in O^{(n+2)}$ implies $(g\$f) \in O^{(n+1)}$.

Denote $[O]_{cl}$ and $[O]_{pr}$ the compositional clone closure and the pr-clone closure of the set O .

Remarks

1. Several closure operations yield several kind of clones, therefore different theories .
2. The cyclic primitive recursion yields another, so called cpr-clones.

An $(n+1)$ -ary function h is defined by cyclic primitive recursion from n -ary function f and $(n+2)$ -ary function g , if $h = g \circ f$, where $(g \circ f)(\tilde{x}, s(i)) = g(\tilde{x}, (g \circ f)(\tilde{x}, i), i)$, $i \in K$.

3. The lattice of pr-clones for truth functions ($k=2$)

Denote $O_{\{0,1\}}$ and O_2 the set of truth functions (logical functions). To see the effectivity of the pr-closure, we present the Post's lattice (of clones) as Fig.1 and the lattice of pr-closed clones (pr-clones) as Fig.2. The latter one is based on the following theorem.

Theorem 1. 1. The set $(O_2 | \{0\})$ is pr-clone and pr-maximal (in the class O_2).

2. Each $\{0\}$ -preserving truth function is pr-complete in the class $(O_2 | \{0\})$.

3. If a truth function is not $\{0\}$ -preserving, then it is pr-complete (in O_2).

Proof.

1. It is known [P-41], that $(O_2 | \{0\})$ is a maximal compositional clone (in O_2). This clone is closed under primitive recursion, because of $(g\$f)(\tilde{0}, 0) = f(\tilde{0}) = 0$, for $f \in (O_2 | \{0\})$. Therefore this is a pr-clone and so it is pr-maximal.

2. Denote $\oplus$ and $\cdot$ the mod 2 addition and mod 2 multiplication, resp.

Let g_2, g_3 truth functions be defined by primitive recursion as follows: $g_2(x, 0) = e_1(x)$, $g_2(x, 1) = e_3^3(x, e_1(x), 0)$

and $g_3(x, y, 0) = e_1^2(x, y)$, $g_3(x, y, 1) = e_2^4(x, y, y, 0)$, that is $g_2(x, y) = x \oplus xy$ and $g_3(x, y, z) = x \oplus xz \oplus yz$. According to the definition these functions are elements of every pr-clone. It can be seen, that $g_2(x, x) = c_0(x)$, $g_3(x, y, x) = xy$ and $g_3(x, g_2(y, x), y) = x \oplus y$. It is known [P-41], that the set $\{x+y, x \cdot y\}$ is a compositional base of the clone $(O_2 | \{0\})$. Due to the statement 1 of the theorem, the set E is pr-complete in the set $(O_2 | \{0\})$, so the sets $E \cup \{g\}$, $g \in (O_2 | \{0\})$ are pr-complete, too.

3. Due to the proof of part 2, each of the pr-clones contains the pr-clone $(O_2 | \{0\})$, as a subset.

As this pr-clone is pr-maximal (statement 1), the statement 3 is true. □

Corollary

There are two pr-clones for truth functions; they are the classes O_2 and $(O_2 \mid \{0\})$. $\square$

The lattices of compositional clones and of pr-clones for truth functions are presented by Fig. 1 and Fig. 2, resp.

4. The maximal pr-clones and the theorem of pr-completeness (case $k > 2$)

It can be seen (part 3), that for truth functions the pr-closure is more effective than the compositional closure: the lattice of the compositional clones is countable [P-41], but the lattice of the pr-clones has only two elements. It is known (and easy to see), that arbitrary $f \in O_K^{(n+1)}$ has a representation of the form:

$$f(\tilde{x}, z) = \max(\min(j_l(z), f(\tilde{x}, l)) \mid l \in K).$$

However, a similar representation is the following (standard representation).

Statement. Every $(n+1)$ -variable function f has a representation of the form:

$$f(\tilde{x}, z) = w(f(\tilde{x}, 0), f(\tilde{x}, 1), \dots, f(\tilde{x}, k-1), z).$$

Before presenting our results about pr-Sheffer functions and pr-completeness, we prove a lemma. $\square$

Lemma 2. 1. Every pr-clone contains the functions c_0, r_1, r_2, r_3, r and w .

2. $c_0 \in [r_1]_{cl}, r_1 \in [r_2]_{cl}, r, w \in [r_2, r_3]_{cl}$.

Proof. Let the functions f_1 and f_2 be defined by primitive recursion (over the set E) as follows:

$$f_1(x, y, 0) = e_1^2(x, y), f_1(x, y, s(i)) = e_{2j}^4(x, y, f_1(x, y, i), i), i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, k-2 \text{ (or a more compact way: } f_j = e_{2j}^4 \circ e_1^2 \text{). We obtain:}$$

$r_2(x, y) = f_2(x, y, y); r_3 = f_1; r_1(x) = r_2(x, x); r(x, y) = r_3(x, r_2(y, x), y)$. From the following recursive composition $u_1 = r_1, u_{m+1} = u_1 \bullet u_m$ ($m \geq 1$) yields the function $c_0: c_0 = u_{k-1}$. The recursive composition $w_2 = r_3, w_{i+1}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_i, y, z) = w_2(x_1, w_i(x_2, \dots, x_i, y, r_1(z))), i = 2, 3, \dots$ (not a primitive recursion!) yields the function w ; can be seen, that $w(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k, z) = w_{k+1}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k, z, z)$.

From this construction the statement 2 is obtained. $\square$

Also, the following theorem shows the effectivity of the pr-closure. We mention, that in case of compositional closure the Sheffer functions have at least two variables.

Theorem 3. The functions c_{k-1} and s are pr-Sheffer functions (that is, both of them are pr-complete in O_K).

Proof. Using Lemma 2, every constant function can be generated as follows (similar to the proof of Lemma 2):

$$u_1 = r_1, u_{m+1} = u_1 \bullet u_m, c_i = u_{k-i-1} \bullet c_{k-1};$$

$$v_1 = s, v_{m+1} = s \bullet v_m, c_i = v_i \bullet c_0, i = 0, 1, \dots, k-1 \text{ (} u_0 = v_0 = e_1 \text{)}.$$

The statement is obtained by induction on the number n of variables, because of the standard representation

$$f(\tilde{x}, z) = w(f(\tilde{x}, 0), f(\tilde{x}, 1), \dots, f(\tilde{x}, k-1), z).$$

Corollary. The class C of constant functions is pr-complete. $\square$

Therefore, considered the set $C \cup \{s\}$ as the set of elementary functions in O_K , we obtain that each of the element of the set O_K is primitive recursive function.

According to the definition of the primitive recursion, $(g \circ f)(\tilde{0}, 0) = f(\tilde{0})$, so the 0-preserving class $(O_K \mid \{0\})$ is a pr-clone for $k > 2$, too. Since this class is maximal in O_K as a compositional clone, yields:

Statement. The class of 0-preserving functions is pr-maximal in the class O_K . $\square$

It is known, that every class $(O_K \mid M)$ for (non-empty) M subsets of K is a clone [R-77]. However, these are not pr-clones, e.g. $r_1 \in (O_K \mid \{1\})_{pr}$, but $r_1(1) = 0$ by Lemma 2. Let $K_i = \{0, 1, \dots, i\}$ for $0 \leq i \leq k-1$ ($K_{k-1} = K$). In the next theorem the subset-preserving clones are characterized in point of wiew pr-completeness and pr-maximality.

Theorem 4. Let M be a non-empty, proper subset of the set K . Then the clone $(O_K \mid M)$ is

- a) maximal pr-clone (in O_K) if $M = K_i, i = 0, 1, \dots, k-2$;
- b) pr-complete class (in O_K) in other cases.

Proof. For $b \in K \setminus M$ there exists a function $g \in (O_K^{(1)} \mid M)$ such that $g(b) = k-1$.

- a) According to the definition of the primitive recursion, the classes $(O_K \mid K_i), i = 0, 1, \dots, k-2$ are pr-clones, however they are not pr-completes, since the function c_{k-1} is not element of them.

For any function $f \in O_K^{(n)} \setminus (O_K \mid M)$ there exists $\tilde{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in M^n$, such that $f(\tilde{a}) = b \in K \setminus M$. So

$$c_{k-1} = g(f(c_{a_1}, \dots, c_{a_n})) \in [(O_K \mid M) \cup \{f\}]_{pr} \text{ since } c_{a_1}, \dots, c_{a_n}, g \in (O_K \mid M). \text{ Therefore, the class } (O_K \mid M)$$

is pr-maximal clone, due to Theorem 3.

- b) Suppose, that the set M is different from the sets $K_0, K_1, \dots, K_{k-1}$, so there exists $b+1 \in M$, such that $b \in K \setminus M$. Since $c_{b+1} \in (O_K | M)$, so $r_1(c_{b+1}) = c_b \in [(O_K | M)]_{pr}$, therefore $g(c_b) = c_{k-1} \in [(O_K | M)]_{pr}$. Due to Theorem 3, the class $(O_K | M)$ is pr-complete. $\square$

The next theorem is similar to the completeness theorem of Rosenberg [R-77].

Theorem 5. (Basic theorem of pr-completeness.) For every integers $k \geq 2$, the set $O \subseteq O_K$ is pr-complete (in O_K) if and only if none of the sets $O \setminus (O_K | K_i)$, $0 \leq i \leq k-2$ are empty.

Proof. Clearly, the condition is necessary, since the sets $(O_K | K_i)$ are pr-maximal clones for $i < k-1$, by Theorem 4. Sufficient. Suppose, that none of the sets $O \setminus (O_K | K_i)$, $0 \leq i \leq k-2$ are empty. So there exists a function $g \in O$, for which $g(c_0, \dots, c_0) = c_j \neq c_0$, therefore $c_j \in [O]_{pr}$, by Lemma 2. If $j < k-1$, then on a similar way we have get the set $\{g(c_{i_1}, \dots, c_{i_n}) | g \in O, \{i_1, \dots, i_n\} \subseteq K_j\}$ having an element c_l , $l > j$. This way we obtain the function c_{k-1} , at most in steps $k-1$. Therefore the class O is pr-complete (Lemma 2 and Theorem 3 is used). $\square$

Figure 1

Figure 2

Irodalom

- [B-91] Bagyinszki J., A prím-értékű logikák zárt lineáris függvényosztályainak diagramja és a pr-lezárás tulajdonságai, Kandidátusi értekezés (1991), 1-88.
- [B-93] Bagyinszki J., Véges halmazon értelmezett függvények pr-maximális és pr-teljes klónjai, Alk. Mat. Lapok 17(1993), 1-8.
- [B-D-80] Bagyinszki J., Demetrovics J., The structure of the maximal linear classes in prime-valued logics, C. R. Math. Rep. Acad. Sci. Canada- Vol.II.(1980), 209-213.
- [P-41] Post, E., The two-valued iterative systems of mathematical logic, Annals of Math. Studies 5(1941).
- [P-K-79] Pöschel, R., Kaluzsnyin, L. A., Funktionen- und Relationenalgebren (Deutscher Verlag der Wissenschaften, 1979).
- [R-77] Rosenberg, I. G., Completeness properties of multiple-valued logic algebras, Computer Science and Multiple-valued Logic. (D. C. Rine, ed.) (North-Holland Publ. Co., 1977), 144-186.