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Abstract: The task of programming concurrent systems is substantially more difficult than the task of programming sequential systems with respect to both correctness and efficiency. The tendency in development of embedded hardware and processors are shifting to multicore and multiprocessor setups as well. This means that the problem of easy concurrency is an important problem for embedded systems as well. There are numerous solutions for the problem of concurrency, but not with embedded systems in mind. Due to the constraints of embedded hardware and use cases of embedded systems, specific concurrency solutions are required. In this paper we present a solution which is targeted for embedded systems and builds on existing concurrency algorithms and solutions. The presented method emphasizes on the development and design of concurrent software. In the design of the presented method human factor was taken into consideration as the major influential factor in the successful development of concurrent applications.

1 Introduction

In Section II we present the current stage of concurrent programming. In Section III we investigate the current support and problems of concurrent programming in embedded systems. In section IV we present a model developed by the authors of this paper for concurrent programming which builds on existing concurrency algorithms. We present in detail the architecture, the principles, the advantages and disadvantages of the model. In the last section we present an overview of the model and the conclusion of the authors.
2 Concurrent Programming

Concurrent computing is the concurrent (simultaneous) execution of multiple interacting computational tasks. These tasks may be implemented as separate programs, or as a set of processes or threads created by a single program. The tasks may also be executing on a single processor, several processors in close proximity, or distributed across a network. Concurrent computing is related to parallel computing, but focuses more on the interactions between tasks. Correct sequencing of the interactions or communications between different tasks, and the coordination of access to resources that are shared between tasks, are key concerns during the design of concurrent computing systems. In some concurrent computing systems communication between the concurrent components is hidden from the programmer, while in others it must be handled explicitly. Explicit communication can be divided into two classes.

2.1 Shared Memory Communication

Concurrent components communicate by altering the contents of shared memory location. This style of concurrent programming usually requires the application of some form of locking (e.g., mutexes (means mutual exclusion), semaphores, or monitors) to coordinate between threads. Shared memory communication can be achieved with the use of Software Transactional Memory (STM) [1, 2, 3]. Software Transactional Memory (STM) is an abstraction for concurrent communication mechanism analogous to database transactions for controlling access to shared memory. The main benefits of STM are composability and modularity. That is, using STM you can write concurrent abstractions that can be easily composed with any other abstraction built using STM, without exposing the details of how your abstraction ensures safety.

2.2 Message Passing Communication

Concurrent components communicate by exchanging messages. The exchange of messages may be carried out asynchronously (sometimes referred to as "send and pray"), or may use a rendezvous style in which the sender blocks until the message is received. Message-passing concurrency tends to be far easier to reason about than shared-memory concurrency, and is typically considered a more robust, although slower, form of concurrent programming. A wide variety of mathematical theories for understanding and analyzing message-passing systems are available, including the Actor model [4]. In computer science, the Actor model is a mathematical model of concurrent computation that treats "actors" as the universal primitives of concurrent digital computation: in response to a message that it receives, an actor can make local decisions, create more actors, send more messages, and determine how to respond to the next message received.
2.3 Advantages

Increased application throughput - the number of tasks done in certain time period will increase. High responsiveness for input/output - input/output intensive applications mostly wait for input or output operations to complete. Concurrent programming allows the time that would be spent waiting to be used for another task. More appropriate program structure - some problems and problem domains are well-suited to representation as concurrent tasks or processes.

3 Embedded Systems and Concurrent Programming

The architecture of modern embedded systems is based on multi-core or multi processor setups. This makes concurrent computing an important problem in case of these systems as well. The existing algorithms and solutions for concurrency were not designed for embedded systems with resource constraints. In case of real-time embedded systems it is necessary to meet time and resource constraints. It is important to create algorithms which prioritize these requirements. It’s important to take human factor into consideration and simplify the development of concurrent applications as much as possible and help the transition from the sequential world to the parallel world. It’s also important to have the possibility to trace and verify the created concurrent applications. The traditional methods used for parallel programming are not suitable for embedded systems because of the possibility of dead-locks. Dead – locks pose a serious problem for embedded systems [5], it can cause huge losses. The methods show in section II (actor model and STM), which don’t have dead-locks, have increased memory and processing requirements, also achieving real-time execution becomes harder due to the use of garbage collection. Using these methods and taking into account the requirements of embedded systems we can create a method which is easier to use then low level threading and the resource requirements are negligible.

In the development of concurrent software the primary affecting factor is not the method used for parallelization, but the possibility to parallelize the algorithms and the software itself. To create an efficient method for parallel programming, it’s important to ease the process of parallelizing software and algorithms. To achieve this, the used method must force the user to a correct, concurrent approach of developing software. This has it’s drawbacks as well, since the user has to follow the rules set by the method. The presented method has a steep learning curve, due to its requirements toward it’s usage (software architecture, algorithm implementations, data structures, resource management). On the other hand, these strict rules provide advantages to the users as well, both in correctness of the application and the speed of development. The created applications can be checked by verification algorithms and the integration of parts, created by other
users is provided by the method itself. The requirements of the method provide a solid base for the users.

In case of sequential applications the development, optimization and management is easier then in case of concurrent applications. Imperative applications when executed have a state. This state can be viewed as the context of the application. The results produced by imperative applications are context dependent. Imperative applications can produce different results for the same input because of different contexts.

Sequential applications execute one action at a given moment with a given context. In case of concurrent applications, at a given moment, one or more actions are executed with in one or more contexts, where the contexts may affect each other.

Concurrent applications can be decomposed into sequential applications, which communicate with each other through their input, but their contexts are independent. This is the simplest and cleanest form of concurrent programming.

4 Embedded Systems and Concurrent Programming

Embedded systems are designed to execute specific tasks in a specific field. The tasks can range from processing to peripheral control. In case of peripheral control, concurrent execution is not as important, in most cases usage of event-driven asynchronous execution or collective IO is a better solution [6]. In case of data- and signal processing systems the parallelization of processing tasks and algorithms is important. It provides a significant advantage in scaling and increasing processing capabilities of the system. The importance of peripheral and resource management is present in data processing systems as well. The processing of the data and peripheral management needs to be synchronized. If we fail to synchronize the data acquisition with data processing the processing will be blocked until the necessary data is acquired, this means that we are not using the available resources effectively. The idea of the presented method is to separate the execution, data management and resource handling parts of the application. The presented method emphasises on data processing and is made up of separate modules. Every module has a specific task and can only communicate with one other module. These modules are peripheral/resource management module, data management module and the execution module. The execution module is a light weight thread, it doesn’t have its own stack or heap. This is a requirement due to the resource constrains of embedded systems. If required the stack or heap can be added into the components of the execution thread with to the possibility of extending the components of the execution thread with user defined data structures. The main advantage of light weight threads is that they have small
resource requirements and fast task switching capabilities [7, 8]. The execution module interacts with the data manager module, which converts raw data to a specific data type and provides input for the execution module. The connection between the data manager and the execution module is based on the actor model [4], which can be optimally implemented in this case, due to the restrictions put on the execution module which can only read and create new data (types) and cannot modify it. The execution module can be monolithic or modular. The modular composition is required for complex threads were processing is coupled with actions (IO). The execution threads can be built up from two kinds of components, processing and execution/action components.

The component used in the execution module is type which for a given input type 'a' creates a given type 'b'. This operation will always give the same result for the same input. The processing component is referentially transparent, meaning it does not support destructive actions [9]. The type variables 'a' and 'b' can have the same types. The action component is similar to the processing component, it is usable in case were we need to support destructive actions. These components request the execution of specific actions which are received and executed by a transactional unit. The design of the transactional mechanism is based on transactions, just as in software transactional memory [1, 2, 3]. The threads in the execution module are not connected to each other. It is possible to achieve interaction between the threads. One or more execution threads can be joined with the use of the reduce component. The reduce component iterates through the values of the given threads, merging them into one component or value. The merging algorithm is specified by the user, as well as the order of the merging. The joining of the threads follows the MapReduce model, where the map functions correspond to the threads and the reduce function corresponds to the merging algorithm provided by the user [10]. The method introduced in this paper is usable for concurrent programming in real-time embedded systems as well. The complexities of the algorithms used in the method are linear in the worst case. The priority of threads can be specified, this mean that the order of execution can be predetermined. It is possible to calculate the amount of time required to execute a specific action. This way the created systems can be deterministic.
Threads can be separated into two parts. The two parts create a client server architecture, where the server is the data manager and the client is the actions/steps of the thread. The job of the server (producer) is to provide the client (consumer) with data. The server part sends the data to the client part. The server part protects the system from possible collisions due to concurrent access or request to resources. The client part has a simple design it is made up of processing steps and actions.
The job of the asynchronous resource manager (Figure 3) is to provide safe access to resources for the server part of the threads. The resource manager does not check the integrity of data, its only job is to provide the execution threads server part with raw data. Parallelization of software is not trivial in most cases [11, 12]. The method presented in the paper takes this fact into consideration. It’s an important that the parallelizable and the sequential parts of the software be easily synchronizable. The presented view of software (as seen on Figure 4) is easily implementable into the model of the presented method. Based on the data flow of the software, we are able to implement it into the model of the presented method for concurrency.

Conclusion

Concurrent programming is complex and hard to achieve. In most cases the parallelization of software is not a straightforward and easy task. The realized concurrent programs usually have safety and performance issues. For embedded systems the existing parallelization algorithms and solutions are not optimal due to resource requirements and safety issues.

The goal is to realize such a solution for concurrent programming, which is optimal for embedded systems and helps and simplifies the development of concurrent programs. The key to successful development of parallel programs is...
in the realization of tools which take into consideration the human factors and aspects of parallel development.

The model presented in this paper builds on the advantages of existing parallelization algorithms with human factor as its primary deciding factor. In the development of a concurrent applications, the used parallelization algorithms and solutions are important, but the most important factor is the developer/user itself. To achieve the best possible results, to achieve efficient software, we must concentrate on the most important factor of development, the human (developer).

Advantages:
- Encourages parallel reasoning, abstractions and modularization of the software
- Unified and safe model
- Asynchronous, event-driven management of peripherals.

Disadvantages:
- Forced architecture and model
- Steep learning curve for developers
- Concurrent management of peripherals is hard.
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