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Abstract: In this paper a new modification of Newton's method based on aggregation operator-geometric mean, for solving nonlinear equations has proposed. The convergence properties of proposed method have been discussed and has shown that the order of convergence is three. Theoretically result has been verified on relevant numerical problems and comparison of the behavior of the proposed method and some existing ones are given.
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## 1 Introduction

We consider the problem of numerical determine a real root $\alpha$ of nonlinear equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=0, \quad f: D \subseteq R \rightarrow R \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The best know numerical method for solving equation (1) is the classical Newton's method given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n+1}=x_{n}-\frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}, \quad n=0,1, \ldots \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x_{0}$ is an initial approximation sufficiently close to $\alpha$. The convergence order of the classical Newton's method is quadratically for simple roots and linearly for multiple roots. In literature $[2,3,10,11]$ are given some variant of Newton's methods, which have a target to improve the rate of convergence or to make smaller the number of functional evaluations. The methods developed by Fernando et al. [10] and Özban [2] suggested the new method proposed in this work.

Definition 1 (See [9]) If the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ tends to a limit $\alpha$ in such a way that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{x_{n+1}-\alpha}{\left(x_{n}-\alpha\right)^{p}}=C
$$

for some $C \neq 0$ and $p \geq 1$, then the order of convergence of the sequence is said to be $p$, and $C$ is know as the asymptotic error constant.

If $p=1, p=2$ or $p=3$, the convergence i said to be linearly, quadratically or cubic, respectively.
Let $e_{n}=x_{n}-\alpha$ be the error in the $\mathrm{n}^{t h}$ iterate of the method which produces the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$. Then, the relation

$$
e_{n+1}=C e_{n}^{p}+O\left(e_{n}^{p+1}\right)=O\left(e_{n}^{p}\right)
$$

is called the error equation. The value of $p$ is called the order of convergence of this method.

Definition 2 (See [10]) Let $\alpha$ be a root of the function $f$ and suppose that $x_{n+1}, x_{n}$ and $x_{n-1}$ are three consecutive iterations closer to the root $\alpha$. Then the computational order of convergence $\rho$ can be approximated using the formula

$$
\rho \approx \frac{\ln \left|\left(x_{n+1}-\alpha\right) /\left(x_{n}-\alpha\right)\right|}{\ln \left|\left(x_{n}-\alpha\right) /\left(x_{n-1}-\alpha\right)\right|}
$$

In this work, as in scientific papers [2] and [10], Newton's method is modificated by aggregation operators like: geometric, arithmetic and harmonic means are.

Aggregation operators like: triangular norms and conorms, uninorms, copulas, weighted arithmetic means, ordered weighted arithmetic means and compensated operators are, make a special class of aggregation operators. All these operators are detailed considered in different scientific papers and monographs, for example monograph of E. P. Klement, R. Mesiar and E. Pap is dedicated to triangular norms [6], the ordered weighted averaging operators are considered in edition of R. R. Yager i J. Kacprzyk [7], while copulas are presented in monograph of R. B. Nelsen [8]. Many results connected with aggregation operations can be found in edition of T. Calva, G. Mayor and R. Mesiar [1].

We going to present a definition, some examples and properties of aggregation operators.

Definition $3 A n$ aggregation operator is a function $A: \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}}[0,1]^{n} \rightarrow[0,1]$ such that
i) $A\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \leq A\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)$ whenever $x_{i} \leq y_{i}$ for all $i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$.
ii) $A(x)=x$ for all $x \in[0,1]$.
iii) $A(0, \ldots, 0)=0$ and $A(1, \ldots, 1)=1$.

Operators $\Pi$, as the operator of product, arithmetic mean $M$, Min, Max and operator $A_{c}$ are all aggregation operators.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Pi\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\prod_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}, \quad M\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}, \\
\operatorname{Min}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\min \left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right), \quad \operatorname{Max}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\max \left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right), \\
A_{c}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\max \left(0, \min \left(1, c+\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-c\right)\right)\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

where the operator $A_{c}: \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}}[0,1]^{n} \rightarrow[0,1]$ is defined for all $c \in(0,1)$.
The weakest aggregation operator, in designation $A_{w}$, and the strongest aggregation operator $A_{s}$, are given by following:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
(\forall n \geq 2) & \left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \neq(1, \ldots, 1): \\
(\forall n \geq 2) & \left(A_{w}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=0\right. \\
\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \neq(0, \ldots, 0): & A_{s}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=1 .
\end{array}
$$

Aggregation operators between each other can compare like functions with $n$-variables. For any aggregation operator $A$ is satisfied:

$$
A_{w} \leq A \leq A_{s}
$$

Also, the following is satisfied:

$$
A_{w} \leq \Pi \leq M i n \leq M \leq M a x \leq A_{s}
$$

Example 1 Aggregation operator: $W_{\triangle}: \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}}[0,1]^{n} \rightarrow[0,1]$ defined by

$$
W_{\triangle}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i n} x_{i}
$$

is the so called weighted arithmetic operator associated with weighted triangle $\triangle$.

Weighted arithmetic means are continuous, idempotent, linear, additive and self-dual aggregation operators.

Example 2 Let $f:[0,1] \rightarrow[-\infty,+\infty]$ continuous and strictly monotone function. The aggregation operator $M_{f}: \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}}[0,1]^{n} \rightarrow[0,1]$, which is given by

$$
M_{f}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=f^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} f\left(x_{i}\right)\right),
$$

is called quasi-arithmetic mean.

The class of quasi-arithmetic means, root-exponentials operators $M_{p}$ : $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}}[0,1]^{n} \rightarrow[0,1], p \in(-\infty, 0) \cup(0,+\infty)$ is obtained by applying the function $f_{p}:[0,1] \rightarrow[-\infty,+\infty], f_{p}(x)=x^{p}$ such as:

$$
M_{p}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}
$$

Marginal members of these classes are $M_{0}=G=M_{\log x}$, which is the geometric mean, while $M_{\infty}=\operatorname{Max}$ and $M_{-\infty}=$ Min which are not in class of quasi-arithmetic means.

## 2 Description of the method

### 2.1 Arithmetic and Harmonic mean Newton's Methods

Let $\alpha$ is a simple root of nonlinear equation $f(x)=0$, where $f$ is a sufficiently differentiable function. It is clear, from Newton's theorem that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=f\left(x_{n}\right)+\int_{x_{n}}^{x} f^{\prime}(z) d z \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we approximate the definite integral in (3) with rectangle $\left(x-x_{n}\right) f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)$, and take $x=\alpha$, we have that

$$
0 \approx f\left(x_{n}\right)+\left(\alpha-x_{n}\right) f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)
$$

and if $\alpha$ declare by the new approximation $x_{n+1}$, we obtain the classical Newton's method

$$
x_{n+1}=x_{n}-\frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}
$$

Leading by such admission Fernando et al.[10] approximate the definite integral in (3) with trapezoid rule

$$
\int_{x_{n}}^{x} f^{\prime}(z) d z \approx \frac{1}{2}\left(x-x_{n}\right)\left[f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)+f^{\prime}(x)\right]
$$

and by such a way arrive the following method

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n+1}=x_{n}-\frac{2 f\left(x_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)+f^{\prime}\left(v_{n+1}\right)}, \quad \text { where } v_{n+1}=x_{n}-\frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}, n=0,1, \ldots \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is, in contrast to Newton's method (2), instead of $f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)$ using arithmetic mean of $f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)$ and $f^{\prime}\left(v_{n+1}\right)$. Therefore, it call arithmetic mean Newton's method (AM). If we use harmonic mean instead of the arithmetic mean in (4), we obtain

$$
x_{n+1}=x_{n}-\frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)\left(f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)+f^{\prime}\left(v_{n+1}\right)\right)}{2 f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right) f^{\prime}\left(v_{n+1}\right)}, \quad n=0,1, \ldots
$$

which call harmonic mean Newton's method (HM) proposed by Özban [2].

### 2.2 New Modification of Newton's Method

If we use the geometric mean instead of arithmetic mean in (4), we get the new scheme

$$
\begin{align*}
& x_{n+1}=x_{n}-\frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)}{\operatorname{sign}\left(f^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)\right) \sqrt{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right) f^{\prime}\left(v_{n+1}\right)}} \\
& \text { where } v_{n+1}=x_{n}-\frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}, \quad n=0,1, \ldots \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

which we call geometric mean Newton's method (GM).

## 3 Analysis of convergence

Theorem 1 Let $f: D \subseteq R \rightarrow R$ for an open interval $D$. Assume that $f$ is sufficiently differentiable function in the interval $D$ and $f$ has a simple root in $\alpha \in D$. If $x_{0}$ is sufficiently close to $\alpha$, then the new method defined by (5) converges cubically and satisfies the following error equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{n+1}=\left(c_{2}^{2}-\frac{1}{2} c_{2}-\frac{3}{2} c_{3}\right) e_{n}^{3}+O\left(e_{n}^{4}\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $e_{n}=x_{n}-\alpha$ and constants $c_{j}=\frac{f^{(j)}(\alpha)}{j!f^{\prime}(\alpha)}$ for $j=1,2,3, \ldots$.
PROOF. Let $\alpha$ be a simple root of equation $f(x)=0$ (i.e. $f(\alpha)=0$ and $\left.f^{\prime}(\alpha) \neq 0\right)$. Since the function $f^{\prime}$ is continuously in open interval $D$ we choice the initial approximation $x_{0}$ sufficiently close to $\alpha \in D$ such that $\operatorname{sign}\left(f^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)\right)=\operatorname{sign}\left(f^{\prime}(\alpha)\right)$.
By Taylor expansion of $f\left(x_{n}\right)$ about $\alpha$ we get

$$
\begin{align*}
f\left(x_{n}\right) & =f(\alpha)+f^{\prime}(\alpha) e_{n}+\frac{1}{2!} f^{\prime \prime}(\alpha) e_{n}^{2}+\frac{1}{3!} f^{(3)}(\alpha) e_{n}^{3}+O\left(e_{n}^{4}\right)  \tag{7}\\
& =f^{\prime}(\alpha)\left[e_{n}+c_{2} e_{n}^{2}+3 c_{3} e_{n}^{3}+O\left(e_{n}^{4}\right)\right],
\end{align*}
$$

where $e_{n}=x_{n}-\alpha$ and $c_{j}=\frac{f^{(j)}(\alpha)}{j!f^{\prime}(\alpha)}$. Similarly, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)=f^{\prime}(\alpha)\left[1+2 c_{2} e_{n}+3 c_{3} e_{n}^{2}+4 c_{4} e_{n}^{3}+\ldots\right] \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Dividing (7) by (8), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)} & =\left[e_{n}+c_{2} e_{n}^{2}+3 c_{3} e_{n}^{3}+O\left(e_{n}^{4}\right)\right]\left[1+2 c_{2} e_{n}+3 c_{3} e_{n}^{2}+4 c_{4} e_{n}^{3}+\ldots\right]^{-1} \\
& =e_{n}-c_{2} e_{n}^{2}+2\left(c_{2}^{2}-c_{3}\right) e_{n}^{3}+O\left(e_{n}^{4}\right), \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
v_{n+1} & =x_{n}-\frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}  \tag{10}\\
& =\alpha+c_{2} e_{n}^{2}+2\left(c_{3}-c_{2}^{2}\right) e_{n}^{3}+O\left(e_{n}^{4}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

By (10) and expanding $f^{\prime}\left(v_{n+1}\right)$ about $\alpha$ we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime}\left(v_{n+1}\right)=f^{\prime}(\alpha)\left[1+2 c_{2}^{2} e_{n}^{2}+4\left(c_{2} c_{3}-c_{2}^{3}\right) e_{n}^{3}+O\left(e_{n}^{4}\right)\right] \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multiplication (8) by (11), we get
$f^{\prime}\left(x_{n+1}\right) f^{\prime}\left(v_{n+1}\right)=f^{\prime 2}(\alpha)\left[1+2 c_{2} e_{n}+\left(2 c_{2}^{2}+3 c_{3}\right) e_{n}^{2}+4\left(c_{2} c_{3}+c_{4}\right) e_{n}^{3}+O\left(e_{n}^{4}\right)\right]$,
and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{sign}\left(f^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)\right) \sqrt{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n+1}\right) f^{\prime}\left(v_{n+1}\right)} \\
& =f^{\prime}(\alpha)\left[1+2 c_{2} e_{n}+\left(2 c_{2}^{2}+3 c_{3}\right) e_{n}^{2}+4\left(c_{2} c_{3}+c_{4}\right) e_{n}^{3}+O\left(e_{n}^{4}\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& =f^{\prime}(\alpha)\left[1+\frac{1}{2}\left(2 c_{2} e_{n}+\left(2 c_{2}^{2}+3 c_{3}\right) e_{n}^{2}+4\left(c_{2} c_{3}+c_{4}\right) e_{n}^{3}+O\left(e_{n}^{4}\right)\right)\right.  \tag{12}\\
& \left.-\frac{1}{8}\left(2 c_{2} e_{n}+\left(2 c_{2}^{2}+3 c_{3}\right) e_{n}^{2}+4\left(c_{2} c_{3}+c_{4}\right) e_{n}^{3}+O\left(e_{n}^{4}\right)\right)^{2}+O\left(e_{n}^{3}\right)\right] \\
& =f^{\prime}(\alpha)\left[1+c_{2} e_{n}+\left(c_{2}^{2}-\frac{1}{2} c_{2}+\frac{3}{2} c_{3}\right) e_{n}^{2}+O\left(e_{n}^{3}\right)\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, from equations (7) and (12), we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)}{\operatorname{sign}\left(f^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)\right) \sqrt{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n+1}\right) f^{\prime}\left(v_{n+1}\right)}} \\
& =\left[e_{n}+c_{2} e_{n}^{2}+3 c_{3} e_{n}^{3}+O\left(e_{n}^{4}\right)\right]\left[1+c_{2} e_{n}+\left(c_{2}^{2}-\frac{1}{2} c_{2}+\frac{3}{2} c_{3}\right) e_{n}^{2}+O\left(e_{n}^{3}\right)\right]^{-1} \\
& =e_{n}+\left(\frac{1}{2} c_{2}+\frac{3}{2} c_{3}-c_{2}^{2}\right) e_{n}^{3}+O\left(e_{n}^{4}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Replacing this in (5) we obtain

$$
x_{n+1}=x_{n}-\left(e_{n}+\left(\frac{1}{2} c_{2}+\frac{3}{2} c_{3}-c_{2}^{2}\right) e_{n}^{3}+O\left(e_{n}^{4}\right)\right)
$$

or

$$
e_{n+1}=\left(c_{2}^{2}-\frac{1}{2} c_{2}-\frac{3}{2} c_{3}\right) e_{n}^{3}+O\left(e_{n}^{4}\right)
$$

which shows the third-order convergence of the geometric mean Newton's method.

## 4 Numerical results and conclusions

Table 1.

| Function | $x_{0}$ | $i$ |  |  |  |  | COC |  |  |  |  | NOFE |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | NM | AM | HM | GM | NM | AM | HM | GM | NM | AM | HM | GM |  |  |
| (a) | 0.5 | 7 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 2.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 14 | 12 | 12 | 12 |  |  |
|  | 1 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1.98 | 3.00 | 2.90 | 3.00 | 10 | 9 | 9 | 9 |  |  |
|  | 2 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 2.89 | 10 | 9 | 9 | 9 |  |  |
| (b) | -1 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 2.00 | ND | 3.00 | 3.00 | 12 | 9 | 9 | 12 |  |  |
|  | -3 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 1.97 | ND | 3.00 | 3.00 | 12 | 9 | 9 | 12 |  |  |
| (c) | -2 | 8 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 2.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 2.97 | 16 | 18 | 15 | 15 |  |  |
|  | -3 | 14 | 9 | 8 | 9 | 2.00 | 2.94 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 28 | 27 | 24 | 27 |  |  |
| (d) | 0 | 9 | 15 | 5 | 2 | 2.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | ND | 18 | 45 | 15 | 6 |  |  |
|  | 1.5 | 7 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 1.98 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 14 | 15 | 12 | 12 |  |  |
|  | 2.5 | 6 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 2.00 | 2.90 | 3.00 | 2.97 | 12 | 12 | 9 | 12 |  |  |
|  | 3.5 | 7 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 2.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 14 | 15 | 12 | 12 |  |  |
| (e) | 1.5 | 15 | 467 | 7 | 12 | 1.96 | ND | 3.00 | 3.00 | 30 | 1401 | 21 | 36 |  |  |
|  | 2.5 | 7 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 1.98 | ND | 3.00 | 2.98 | 14 | 15 | 12 | 15 |  |  |
|  | 3.5 | 10 | 7 | 6 | 6 | 2.00 | 2.98 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 20 | 21 | 18 | 18 |  |  |
| (f) | 1.4 | 78 | 51 | 41 | 46 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 156 | 153 | 123 | 138 |  |  |
|  | -3 | 113 | 75 | 60 | 67 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 226 | 225 | 180 | 201 |  |  |

NM - Newton's method
AM - Arithmetic mean N. method HM - Harmonic mean N. method GM - Geometric mean N. method

COC - Computational order of convergence NOFE - Number of functional evaluations $i$ - Number of iterations ND - Not defined

In Table 1. we show the computational results of some relevant numerical test to compare the efficiencies of the methods. The used stopping criterion is $\left|x_{n+1}-\alpha\right|+\left|f\left(x_{n+1}\right)\right|<10^{-14}$.
Test functions (See [5, 10])
(a) $x^{3}+4 x^{2}-10, \quad \alpha=1.365230013414097$,
(b) $\sin ^{2} x-x^{2}+1, \quad \alpha=-1.404491648215341$,
(c) $x e^{x^{2}}-\sin ^{2} x+3 \cos x+5, \quad \alpha=-1.207647827130919$,
(d) $\quad(x-1)^{3}-1, \quad \alpha=2$,
(e) $(x-1)^{6}-1$,
$\alpha=2$,
(f) $(x-2)^{3}(x+2)^{4}$,
$\alpha=2 \vee \alpha=-2$.
All numerical tests agree with the theoretically result of this paper. The most important characteristics of geometric mean Newton's method (GM) are:
(1) third order of convergence (for simple roots),
(2) does not require the computation of second or higher order derivatives,
(3) by the numerical results (Table 1.) it is evident that the total number of functional evaluation required is less than of Newton's method.

It is interesting to consider the behavior of tested methods for multiple roots.

The test function (f) has a multiple roots and the COC is linear. This is in accordance with the theoretically properties of Newton's method for multiple roots (see [4]). Further investigations will be related to other aggregation operator Newton's methods.
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